



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/514,424	05/26/2005	Rudolf Peter Muis	OCT0013-US	8641
36183 7590 07/01/2010 PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP 875 15th Street, NW Washington, DC 20005				
EXAMINER				
ROLLAND, ALEX A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1712				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/01/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/514,424

Applicant(s)

MUIS ET AL.

Examiner

ALEX ROLLAND

Art Unit

1712

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 April 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/ICE)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/29/10 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1712

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 2, 7-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 03/082480 to Matsunaga et al in view of US 4488665 to Cocks et al.

Matsunaga teaches a liquid dispensing apparatus which handles a minimum amount of liquid without wasting it by dispensing an exact amount of liquid while letting the liquid flow between two syringes (abstract). With reference to figure 1, the apparatus comprises a tubular dispensing means disposed substantially parallel to a horizontal substrate W (the tube connecting the two syringes). The wall of the tube has an opening element 3 (claimed "lateral outlet opening"). The apparatus further comprises the syringes (claimed "liquid container") and L shaped conduits for carrying the liquid from the syringes to the outlets. This apparatus has specific applications for applying an adhesive or coating material including solid particles (pg. 1, lines 5-8) but could be used to deposit any material onto any substrate or coated substrate, including a

nanocrystalline coated substrate. Matsunaga does not teach a plurality of lateral outlet openings distributed along the tubular dispensing means. However, Cocks teaches an apparatus for dispensing a liquid adhesive having a plurality of individual applicators within a single housing useful (abstract; Fig. 1 and 4). The resulting multiple outlet adhesive applicator applies closely-spaced lines or dots of an adhesive (col. 2, lines 60-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the multiple-outlet adhesive applicator of Cocks into the liquid dispenser of Matsunaga for the predictable result of supplying a larger quantity of liquid onto a substrate more quickly.

Regarding claim 7, the tube is connected to a first syringe 5-2 (claimed "first outer end") and is closed at several points away from this point of attachment such as elements 10-2 and 10-1 (claimed "second outer end at a distal end") (Matsunaga, Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 8, 13-14, 19-20, the tube is connected to syringe 5-2 on one side and is connected to syringe 5-1 on the other side (Matsunaga, Fig. 1). The apparatus functions by having constant flow from a first syringe to a second syringe during deposition such that an appropriate amount of liquid is deposited onto a substrate and the excess liquid is directed into the second syringe (Matsunaga, pg. 12, line 10-pg. 13, line 1). Thereafter, the flow between the syringes is reversed so that the liquid collected in the second syringe is deposited onto the substrate and the excess is collected in the first syringe (Matsunaga, pg. 13, lines 1-24).

Regarding claim 9, 15, this limitation is drawn to the orientation of the dispenser and rotating the dispenser of Matsunaga 180 degrees results in openings in the top side of a still horizontally disposed tubular dispensing means.

Regarding claim 10, 16, orifices 8-1 and 8-2 comprise a portion of the tube and have a circular outer periphery in vertical cross-section as shown by its measurement of diameter (Matsunaga, pg. 10, line 24-pg. 11, line 26).

Regarding claim 11, 17, a second embodiment utilizes a pump 24 to regulate the flow through at least pipe 28 (a conduit means) (Matsunaga, pg. 20, lines 13-26 and Fig. 2).

6. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 03/082480 to Matsunaga et al and US 4488665 to Cocks et al in view of EP 0930641 A2 to Kiguchi et al.

Matsunaga and Cocks are discussed above but are silent as to the specifics of the substrate including movement and heating of the substrate. However, Kiguchi teaches a similar dispensing apparatus comprising a tubular dispensing means in the form of an ink-jet print head equipped with a nozzle plate having a plurality of tubular nozzles (col. 7, lines 42-53), an ink tank functioning as a liquid container (col. 7, line 33), and a pipe connecting said ink tank to said ink-jet print head functioning as a conduit means (See Fig. 1, item 27 and col. 7, line 34). The apparatus includes a drive mechanism which

Art Unit: 1712

allows the ink-jet print head to be moved in the direction of the X- axis and Y-axis (col. 8, lines 38-50); additionally, it is possible to use an arrangement in which the substrate is moved in relation to the ink-jet print head (col. 8, line 51-col. 9, line 2); it is well established in the art that movement of the substrate in this fashion constitutes an XY table. Further, a treatment apparatus is provided which may include a compressor and heater for blowing hot air, a laser emitting diode for generating laser light, or a lamp for lamp irradiation all of which are used to heat the substrate during the coating process (col. 10, lines 19-58). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the substrate control elements of Kiguchi to Matsunaga and Cocks because Kiguchi, Matsunaga, and Cocks are similar apparatuses and Kiguchi states that substrate control elements are useful to include in a substrate liquid deposition apparatus.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, filed 4/29/10, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 2-20 under 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of claim amendments and further search.

Conclusion

8. No Claims are allowed. All pending claims are rejected for the reasons set forth above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALEX ROLLAND whose telephone number is (571)270-5355. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Cleveland can be reached on (571)272-1418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Frederick J. Parker/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1715

/ALEX ROLLAND/
Examiner, Art Unit 1712